The business of making money

- In a modern monetary economy, goods are typically not exchanged for goods but for fiat money.
- Therefore, even though people are ultimately interested in getting goods, <u>the first activity in which people must engage is raising money</u>.
- One way of raising money consists of <u>selling goods</u> others want. Thus, one may sell his/her time for a <u>wage</u> or a good he/she can produce for a <u>price</u>.
- What if one has no good others may want? Then one can raise money by issuing a <u>financial asset</u>, which is essentially a <u>promise to pay money</u>.

Financial assets

- A financial asset is basically an IOU: a paper where someone <u>acknowledges a debt</u> ("I owe you").
- A financial asset is a <u>substitute for money</u>, as it represents a promise today to pay money <u>in the future</u>. It is a way of <u>capitalizing future revenues</u>.
- Suppose you do not have money today, but expect you will have in the future. <u>A financial asset is like</u> <u>a time machine allowing you to take your money</u> <u>back from the future</u>: you issue an IOU and sell it today for money. Problem: part of your future money is lost while going back to the present.

Rate of return of a simple asset /1

- Suppose you know you will get €1,000 in a month and need (or want) them today. You then issue a financial asset stating that you will pay €1,000 in a month to the bearer (owner) of the asset.
- But it will illusory to expect to sell that asset for €1,000, for the buyer gives €1,000 and receives €1,000 in a month: the buyer loses the possession of €1,000 for a month in exchange for nothing.
- So the asset must be sold for less than €1,000. <u>The</u> interest rate of the asset is its implicit rate of return.

Rate of return of a simple asset /1

- Let *V* the <u>nominal (face) value</u> of the asset: how much it promises to pay in the future.
- Let *P* be the <u>price</u> at which the asset is sold.
- Then the (implicit) rate of return *i_R* (or rate of profit) of the asset is the profit *V P* obtained from buying the asset per monetary unit invested in the purchase. The formula is (multiply the right-hand side by 100 to get a percentage):

 For instance, if *V* = 1,000 and *i_R* = <u>*V P*</u>
- For instance, if V = 1,000 and P = 800, then $i_R = 0.25 = 25\%$.

The role of financial assets /1

- From the perspective of the purchaser, the financial asset is a way of <u>saving purchasing power</u> (a way of sending it from the present to the future).
- From the perspective of the issuer (or the seller, if the original buyer becomes a seller), the financial asset is a way of <u>acquiring purchasing power</u> (a way of bringing it from the future to the present).
- A financial asset is an instrument to get money if you need it from someone not needing it now. In short, <u>a financial asset is (like) a loan of money</u>.

The role of financial assets /2

- Financial assets <u>channel purchasing power</u> (in the form of money) <u>from those who wish to lend to those who wish to borrow</u>.
- Those wishing to <u>borrow</u> have a deficit: <u>planned</u> <u>expenditure larger than current income</u>. For those wishing to lend, planned expenditure is smaller than current income: they have a surplus.
- A financial asset is a financial claim by means of which a lender has a claim on a borrower to pay a certain amount of money at a given time.

Properties of financial assets

- To repeat, the owner of a financial asset has a claim on someone else to pay a certain amount of money.
- <u>Maturity</u>. Date at which the claim must be satisfied.
- (Default) Risk. The likelihood that the claim will not be satisfied at maturity.
- <u>Liquidity</u>. Ease and rapidity with which the asset can be turned into money (be sold) before maturity.
- <u>Rate of return</u>. Ratio of the profit the asset generates to the cost of obtaining that profit.

Basic types of financial assets

- <u>Currency</u> can be considered a financial asset with instant maturity (€1 pays €1 now), no return, no risk, and maximum liquidity.
- Financial securities ("<u>securities</u>") are tradable (can be bought and sold) financial assets. A security is any <u>fungible, negotiable financial instrument</u>.
- Securities are divided into <u>debt securities</u> and <u>equity</u>. The market where securities are initially sold (by the issuer) is the <u>primary market</u>. Subsequent sales take place in the <u>secondary market</u>.













Tradable financial assets: bonds

- <u>Bond</u>. Debt security that, in exchange for the face value *V*, pays a given amount (interest payment) at fixed periods before maturity and repays *V* at maturity. A 4-year €100 bond offering an annual 5% interest rate pays €5 at the end of years 1, 2, 3, and 4, and repays the €100 at the end of year 4.
- <u>Bonds issued at discount</u>. That is, they are sold for <u>less than the face value</u>. Examples: Treasure bills (or, for short, <u>T-bills</u>) and <u>commercial paper</u>, which are unsecured promissory notes issued by firms to fund operational expenses (short-term debt, like payroll) and maturity not greater than 270 days.

Are shares financial assets?

- In a strict sense, shares of a firm are not financial assets, since they represent parts of a firm: the owner of shares is a shareholder (owns the firm).
- Unlike debt securities, shares do not entitle to a regular payment: the payment of dividends is discretional. But shares typically represent such a small part of the value of a firm that they are bought and sold not because of their intrinsic value, but because of the expected evolution of their price. Money invested in shares is mostly a matter of gambling, unconcerned with the firm's business (example: dot-com bubble of 1997- 2000).







Goods behaving like financial assets

- Buying shares is a form of saving, and selling them is a form of raising money. Thus, shares become indistinguishable from financial assets.
- <u>Any commodity sold and bought according to the</u> <u>expected evolution of its price behaves like a</u> <u>financial asset</u>: it is not sold or bought due to intrinsic qualities, but as a tool for making money by exploiting price changes.
- This may generate "speculative bubbles". Known cases: oil, real estate, raw materials, stamps...

Non-tradable financial assets: examples

- <u>Bank deposit</u>. By depositing money in a bank, the depositor is purchasing an asset issued by the bank: the deposit. This asset is riskier than currency: if the bank goes bankrupt, the money is lost. Since there is no market where people can buy or sell their bank deposits, they are iliquid assets (a liquid asset may turn iliquid: preferred shares).
- <u>Loan</u>. The loan is the reverse of the deposit: it is as if the bank deposited money on you in exchange for a premium and the repayment of the deposit. In principle, to transform the loan into money the bank must wait until it is repaid.

























































Securitization /1

- "Securitization is the financial practice of pooling various types of contractual debt such as residential mortgages, commercial mortgages, auto loans or credit card debt obligations and selling said consolidated debt as bonds, pass-through securities, or collateralized mortgage obligation to various investors.
- The principal and interest on the debt, underlying the security, is paid back to the various investors regularly." http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Securitization

Securitization /2

- Securitization means transforming non-tradable financial assets (like bank loans) into securities by creating secondary markets for them.
- Method 1 of securitizing a loan: bundle together bank loans and sell partipations in the profits from the pool of loans to investors, who receive the payments from the borrowers that repay the loans.
- Hence, a new financial asset is created by combining existing financial assets and marketing different tiers of the repackaged assets to investors.

Securitization /3

- Problem: by packaging assets, relevant information about them (like risk) may be lost. Risky loans (like subprime mortgages) are easier to sell when pooling them with safer loans, but then investors may not know what they are actually buying.
- Method 2: issue debt (a bond, for instance) secured by the pool of loans (asset-backed security).
- Securitized assets typically constitute a large pool of illiquid assets (like loans). By selling the loans, the bank receives funds that otherwise would have come in the future as the loans were being repaid. The funds can be used to make additional loans.

Trade-off between properties

- Financial assets can be viewed as money imitators. But as they cannot have maximum liquidity, they must offer something in return to be attractive.
- Liquidity & profitability. If two assets differ only in liquidity and profitability, the more liquid must be the less profitable and vice versa (money vs bonds).
- <u>Risk & profitability</u>. If two assets differ only in risk and profitability, the riskier should be the more profitable and vice versa (shares vs deposits).

Inverse relationships

- <u>Having more of the favourable properties is balanced by having more of the unfavourable ones</u>.
- More profitability will in general be accompanied by less attractive qualities: more risk and/or less liquidity.
- More liquidity will be accompanied by less attractive qualities: more risk and/or less profitability.
- More risk will be accompanied by more attractive qualities: more profitability and/or more liquidity.

Shadow banking

- The term refers to <u>non-bank financial intermedia-</u> ries that act like banks, but are not subject to bank <u>regulations</u> (like legal reserves) and lack access to central bank funding and deposit insurance.
- Examples: securitization vehicles, mortgage companies, investment banks, asset-backed commercial paper, money market mutual funds, markets for repos (repurchase agreements), hedge funds...
- Estimated <u>size of the shadow banking system</u> in 2012: over \$100 trillion. Nominal world GDP in 2012: \$72 trillion (85 at purchasing power parity).

6 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gross_world_product http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shadow_banking_system FAs

Shadow banking & financial crisis /1

- The 2007 12 financial crisis has been regarded as a "run" on the shadow banking system (see Paul Krugman, *The return of depression economics*). Moral: <u>if it behaves like a bank, regulate it like a bank</u>.
- <u>Auction-rate security</u> (ARS). Individuals lend money on a long-term basis to an institution. At some intervals, the institution holds an auction in which new investors bid for the right to replace old investors wanting to leave (does it sound familiar to the preferred shares scheme in Spain?). The interest rate of the auction determines what investors get until the next auction.

Shadow banking & financial crisis /2

- For investors, interest rates on ARS were higher than on bank deposits. For the issuers, the rates paid were lower than those on long-term bank loans. How could this be? Issuers were not subject to requirements of holding liquid reserves nor had to contribute to the deposit insurance system.
- The ARS system (\$400 billion at its peak) collapsed in 2008. <u>Not enough new investors were arriving</u> <u>to allow existing investors to get their money back</u>. Fewer arrived after it was realized that the money was tied-up for decades. Without new investors, ARS turned iliquid: no one wanted to buy ARS.

Financial depth

- <u>Financial depth</u> captures the size of the financial sector relative to the economy. More specifically, it compares the size of financial institutions and markets in an economy with (some measure of) the economic output generated by the economy. It can be viewed as a measure of the <u>financial develop-</u><u>ment of an economy</u>.
- There are many proxy variables to measure financial depth. Among them: <u>private credit</u> <u>relative to GDP</u> and <u>total banking assets to GDP</u>.

http://econ.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/EXTDEC/EXTGLOBALFINREPORT/0,.conte ntMDK:23268788~pagePK:64168182~piPK:64168060~theSitePK:8816097,00.html







Private credit to GDP

- It differs across countries. It <u>correlates strongly</u> <u>with income level</u>: is 103% in high-income countries, more than four times the average ratio in low-income countries. It is closely linked to <u>long-</u> <u>term economic growth</u> and poverty reduction.
- High ratio: many European countries, Canada, Australia, South Africa, China (above Russia, Brazil, and India), and the US (below China).
- The eight countries with the highest ratio as of 2010 (CYP, IRE, SPA, NDL, POR, UK, LUX, and SWI) had a major crisis episode since 2008.



Total banking assets to GDP

 According to the introduction to the Workd Bank's Global Financial Development Database, "it is arguably a <u>more comprehensive measure of size</u>, because it includes not only credit to private sector, but also credit to government as well as bank assets other than credit. However, it is available for a smaller number of economies and has been used less extensively [than private credit to GDP] in the literature on financial development. In any case, the two variables are rather closely correlated (with a correlation coefficient of about 0.9 over the whole sample). <u>http://econ.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/EXTEC/EXTGLOBALFINREPORT/0,contrel entMDk:23268788-pagePk:64168182-piPk:64168060-the5ltePk.8816092.0.html
</u>















Fragility of the financial sector /1

- The next example illustrates the fragility of the financial sector and its power to <u>magnify</u> (in either direction) <u>the outcomes the real sector generates</u>.
- There is a firm worth €120 million that plans to carry out an investment project to enhance its productive capacity. To raise the necessary funds, shares for the 100% value of the firm are issued.
- To attract investors, the price 100 of the shares is set below the value 120 of the firm. An investment company buys all the shares.

Fragility of the financial sector /2

- The investors obtain a 20% rate of return: they pay 100 for something whose actual value is 120. Yet, investors run short of cash and ask a big bank for a loan. The bank grants a loan of 100 at a 15%.
- But the bank is also short of liquidity and obtains from a small bank a loan of 100 at a 10%.
- The small bank's vault is empty. The bank offers prefential clients a 5% reward for new deposits. The bank succeeds and collects 100, which are lent to the big bank, which are lent to investors, which are paid to the firm in return for the shares.



Fragility of the financial sector /4

- Everybody gets a profit in the process: the firm funds the project, and investors, banks, and depositors earn 5 each. Thanks to the financial sector, the firm's expansion generates a profit for investors, banks, and depositors.
- The example also shows the <u>leverage effect of the financial sector</u>. There are assets in the economy worth 450: shares, 120; loans from the big bank, 115; loans from the small bank, 110; and deposits by clients, 105. But those assets are all backed by the firm's value, which is merely <u>120</u>.

Fragility of the financial sector /5

- Therefore, <u>financial wealth</u> (*paper wealth*) <u>worth 450</u> <u>is lifted by real wealth</u> (wealth created by the real sector, that is, goods) <u>worth 120</u>.
- This is the <u>positive magnifying effect</u> of the financial sector: real assets worth 120 sustain financial assets worth 450.
- <u>The magnifying effect also works in the reverse</u>. For instance, imagine that the investment project fails because the customers that would have bought the goods produced thanks to the project are those depositing money on the small bank.

Fragility of the financial sector /6

- Given that depositors put their money on the small bank, they cannot buy the new goods the firm produces using the expanded productive capacity. Let us assume that, as a result, the firm goes bankrupt and closes down.
- Shares become worthless. Investors cannot settle their debt with the big bank, which cannot repay the loan to the small bank, which cannot give back the money to depositors. In sum: <u>everybody loses</u>.
- Where have the depositors' funds gone? The firm made use of them to finance an unsuccessful project.

Savings and financial assets

- Lending money and purchasing financial assets are both the expression of a <u>saving decision</u>: they are ways of using the disposable income that is left once consumption decisions have been made.
- The textbook view of the financial sector is as a set of intermediaries that channel savings from savers to investors.
- In this view, the origin of the financial activity lies in the real sector and, in particular, in those individuals having a surplus: an excess of current income with respect to planned expenditure.

Expenditure categories

- National income accounting assigns each good produced to one of four categories according to the type of agent that has received the good.
 - Personal consumption expenditures or, for short, <u>consumption</u> (C).
 - Gross private domestic investment or <u>investment</u> (I).
 - Government consumption and gross investment or <u>government purchases</u> (G).
 - Exports of goods & services (EX) minus imports of goods & services (IM) or <u>net exports</u> (NX).

Consumption and investment

- <u>Consumption</u>: value of the purchases of new goods (durable and non-durable) and services by house-holds (no matter where the goods have been produced).
- Investment. Consists of the value of:
 - fixed investment (on new factories, office buildings, and machinery to produce goods);
 - residential investment (spending by households or firms on new homes);
 - and changes in the firms' inventories (goods that have been produced but not sold yet).

Government purchases

- <u>Government purchases</u>: spending by all levels of government (local, regional, national) on newly produced goods and services. Includes consumption (salaries to civil servants) and investment spendings (university buildings, new submarines).
- <u>Transfer payments</u> (**TR**) are excluded. These are payments by the government without receiving anything in return. Typical transfer payments are Social Security payments to retired and disabled people and unemployment insurance to unemployed people.

Net exports

- <u>Net exports</u>: value of the exports of goods and services minus the value of the imports of goods and services (those imports have been already included in **C**, **I**, or **G**).
- A <u>trade surplus</u> occurs when exports are greater than imports (net exports are positive). A <u>trade</u> <u>deficit</u> is run when imports are greater than exports (net exports are negative). When exports equal imports, the trade deficit (or surplus) is zero.
- The difference "exports minus imports" is known as "trade balance".



	90	P BILLION USD	GOP YOY	<u>909 909</u>	INTEREST RATE	INFLATION RATE	JOBLESS RATE	GOV. BUDGET	DEBT/GOP	CURRENT ACCOUNT
LIBERIA		2	8.30%		13.53%	8.50%	3.70%	-0.70%	44.90%	-65.20
SIERRA LEONE		4	6.20%		12.00%	9.38%	3.40%	-2.90%	37.90%	-55.70
AFGHANISTAN		20	11.80%		15.00%	6.65%	15.00%	-17.90%	8.00%	-40.00
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE		0	4.00%		14.00%	6.80%	14.00%	-9.40%	75.80%	-38.00
ZIMBABWE		11	4.40%		13.95%	0.33%	10.70%	-4.00%	150.903	6 -35.30
MONGOLIA		10	11.50%	11.50%	10.50%	12.50%	3.60%	-2.00%	51.70%	-31.30
NIGER		7	3.60%		3.50%	1.10%	2.25%	0.10%	20.20%	-28.20
MALDIVES		2	3.40%		7.00%	3.60%	11.70%	-4.70%	68.44%	-27.10
MOZAMBIQUE		15	8.10%	1.40%	8.25%	3.54%	17.00%	-6.80%	39.90%	-25.50
BHUTAN		2	4.60%		6.00%	9.12%	3.10%	0.90%	89.40%	-25.00
SEYCHELLES		1	4.00%		9.64%	3.40%	1.70%	2.50%	42.00%	-24.60
PALESTINE	14	7	2.60%			2.71%	23.70%	-0.80%	24.20%	-21.40
JORDAN	2	31	2.80%		4.25%	3.30%	14.00%	-6.40%	71.90%	-20.90
KYRGYZSTAN	≥	6	9.20%		4.16%	4.00%	7.70%	-6.60%	51.10%	-20.80
MALAWI	2	4	5.00%		25.00%	23.50%	3.00%	-7.20%	16.30%	-18.50
KOSOVO	e	6	2.50%			0.50%	30.90%	-1.86%		-18.31
GUINEA	1	7	3.90%		16.00%	10.30%	22.30%	-1.40%	64.12%	-18.28
CAYMAN ISLANDS	÷.	3	1.20%			2.80%	6.20%	-1.10%	24.90%	-18.10
BURUNDI	5	2	4.20%		11.45%	9.00%	35.00%	-7.90%	17.40%	-18.10
MONTENEGRO	S	4	4.00%			0.30%	14.88%	-5.90%	48.90%	-17.65
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	Ĕ	16	9.20%		6.25%	3.20%	1.90%	-1.50%	72.90%	-17.50
GAMBIA	ē	1	6.30%		20.00%	5.88%	6.00%	-3.80%	31.40%	-17.50
LESOTHO	8	2	4.00%		10.12%	5.25%	25.30%	-0.30%	44,60%	-17.30
EQUATORIAL GUINEA	Be	18	4.00%		3.25%	3.10%	22.30%	-3.00%	5.80%	-16.40
LEBANON	÷	43	0.60%		10.00%	1.10%	5.83%	-9.50%	139.509	6 -16.10
BAHAMAS	tra	8	1.80%		4.50%	-0.36%	14.00%	-5.60%	49.90%	-14.10
CAPE VERDE	ž.	2	1.00%		9.75%	0.10%	16.80%	-14.00%	57.00%	-14.10
COMOROS	ŝ	1	3.00%		1.67%	4.20%	13.50%	3.10%	39.30%	-14.10
ALBANIA		13	-2.30%	-2.00%	3.00%	1.90%	12.80%	-3.50%	60.60%	-13.24
GUYANA	đ	3	3.90%		5.00%	1.80%	21.00%	-3.38%	63.30%	-13.20
DJIBOUTI	-E	1	4.50%		10.61%	4.88%	59 50%	-2.00%	52,80%	-12.90

	GOP BILLION USD	GOP YOY	<u>60P 909</u>	INTEREST RATE	INFLATION RATE	JOBLESS RATE	GOV. BUDGET	DEBT/GOP	CURRENT ACC	DUNT
BRUNEI	17	-3.90%		5.50%	0.20%	1.10%	23.98%	0.00%	48.50	
KUWAIT	177	6.10%		2.00%	2.70%	2.72%	33.00%	6.20%	45.00	
MACAO	44	10.50%		0.50%	5.72%	1.90%			44.27	
EAST TIMOR	1	10.60%			4.00%	3.60%	3.61%		41.58	
QATAR	183	6.20%	4.30%	4.50%	2.70%	0.50%	13.40%	29.50%	29.50	
LIBYA	82	95.50%		3.00%	1.70%	19.50%	-42.80%	7.80%	27.20	
SAUDI ARABIA	577	3.10%	3.10%	2.00%	3.00%	5.60%	14.20%	3.60%	24.50	
AZERBAIJAN	67	5.40%		4.75%	2.40%	5.20%	0.30%	11.20%	20.30	
SINGAPORE	275	4.40%	-2.70%	0.01%	1.50%	1.80%	1.30%	97.90%	18.60	
BAHRAIN	27	4.60%	-0.30%	2.25%	4.00%	3.80%	-2.00%	31.60%	15.40	
SURINAME	5	4.50%		11.74%	0.60%	8.00%	-2.80%	19.70%	15.01	
SWITZERLAND	632	1.90%	0.50%	0.00%	0.10%	3.50%	0.30%	35.30%	13.50	
NORWAY	500	2.10%	0.70%	1.50%	2.00%	3.50%	13.90%	28.30%	13.40	14
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	24	-0.50%		2.75%	5.60%	4.80%	-1.20%	37.30%	12.10	2
NIGERIA	263	7.67%	7.67%	12.00%	8.00%	23.90%	0.30%	18.30%	10.80	_ ≥
TAWAN	474	2.92%	2.43%	1.88%	0.76%	4.12%	-1.60%	42.40%	10.50	n i
OMAN	76	5.00%		1.00%	1.70%	15.00%	1.70%	4.50%	10.40	- Q
ALGERIA	208	3.10%	3.10%	4.00%	1.15%	9.80%	-3.30%	8.80%	9.90	<u>п</u>
ANGOLA	114	7.40%	7.40%	9.25%	7.69%	25.00%	7.80%	29.29%	9.60	12.1
GABON	19	6.10%		3.25%	3.65%	16.00%	8.50%	13.80%	9.60	E
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	360	4.40%	4.40%	1.00%	1.44%	4.20%	8.80%	14.60%	8.60	0
NETHERLANDS	772	-0.40%	0.20%	0.25%	1.67%	8.50%	-4.10%	71.30%	8.30	j Ci
MALAYSIA	304	5.00%	1.70%	3.00%	3.20%	3.40%	-4.50%	53.10%	7.90	Lo Lo
BOLMA	27	6.83%	3.33%	2.05%	6.50%	7.50%	1.80%	31.40%	7.50	5
VIETNAM	142	6.04%	5.54%	7.00%	5.45%	2.22%	-6.90%	37.30%	7.40	e
SWEDEN	526	0.30%	0.10%	0.75%	0.10%	7.50%	-0.20%	38.20%	7.20	^B C
VENEZUELA	382	1.10%	1.19%	15.38%	56.10%	5.60%	-8.50%	49.00%	7.10	adi
MOLDOVA	7	12.90%	0.91%	3.50%	5.20%	3.90%	-2.10%	22.55%	7.00	Lt.
GERMANY	3400	1.10%	0.25%	0.25%	1.34%	5.10%	-0.10%	81.00%	6.30	- S
LUXEMBOURG	57	2.70%	0.20%	0.25%	1.50%	7.10%	-0.60%	21.70%	6.30	3
ZAMBIA	21	6.50%		9.75%	7.30%	15.00%	-6.70%	31.20%	5.40	1
IRAN	549	-5.50%		15.00%	35.50%	10.30%	-0.17%	10.30%	4.90	tt l
DURCH	2015	1 2084	0.0444	E EDM	0.1044	E 0.044	0.504	0.4044	4.00	1 - 2



























	GOP BILLION USD	GOP YOY	<u>60P Q0Q</u>	INTEREST RATE	INFLATION RATE	JOBLESS RATE	GOV. BUDGET	DEBT/GDP
BRUNEI	17	-3.90%		5.50%	0.20%	1.10%	23.98%	0.00%
SAUDI ARABIA	577	3.10%	3.10%	2.00%	3.00%	5.60%	14.20%	3.60%
OMAN	76	5.00%		1.00%	1.70%	15.00%	1.70%	4.50%
EQUATORIAL GUINEA	18	4.00%		3.25%	3.10%	22.30%	-3.00%	5.80%
KUWAIT	177	6.10%		2.00%	2.70%	2.72%	33.00%	6.20%
CAMEROON	25	3.80%	1.20%	3.25%	2.00%	3.80%	-3.50%	6.50%
TURKMENISTAN	34	11.10%			6.00%	2.60%	6.80%	7.28%
LIBYA	82	95.50%		3.00%	1.70%	19.50%	-42.80%	7.80%
AFGHANISTAN	20	11.80%		15.00%	6.65%	15.00%	-17.90%	8.00%
SWAZILAND	4	0.21%		5.00%	4.40%	28.20%	-1.00%	8.38%
RUSSIA	2015	1.20%	-0.26%	5.50%	6.10%	5.60%	-0.50%	8.40%
UZBEKISTAN	51	8.10%		12.00%	7.00%	4.80%	0.43%	8.70%
ALGERIA	208	3.10%	3.10%	4.00%	1.15%	9.80%	-3.30%	8.80%
KAZAKHSTAN	202	6.00%	8.60%	5.50%	4.50%	5.20%	-2.90%	9.60%
ESTONIA	22	0.70%	0.60%	0.25%	1.10%	8.00%	-0.20%	9.80%
IRAN	549	-5.50%		15.00%	35.50%	10.30%	-0.17%	10.30%
AZERBALJAN	67	5.40%		4.75%	2.40%	5.20%	0.30%	11.20%
CHILE	268	4.70%	1.30%	4.50%	3.00%	5.67%	-0.70%	12.20%
PARAGUAY	26	12.90%	1.40%	6.50%	3.90%	7.70%	-1.50%	12.50%
GABON	19	6.10%		3.25%	3.65%	16.00%	8.50%	13.80%
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	360	4.40%	4.40%	1.00%	1.44%	4.20%	8.80%	14.60%
BOTSWANA	14	7.10%	0.40%	7.50%	4.10%	17.80%	0.70%	16.10%
BURKINA FASO	10	8.00%		3.50%	0.10%	3.30%	-0.50%	16.20%
HAITI	8	2.80%		7.00%	3.40%	40.60%	-0.15%	16.20%
MALAWI	4	5.00%		25.00%	23.50%	3.00%	-7.20%	16.30%
ETHIOPIA	43	8.50%		5.00%	7.80%	17.50%	0.20%	17.00%
BURUNDI	2	4.20%		11.45%	9.00%	35.00%	-7.90%	17.40%
http://www.tradingeco	nomics.com · 7 i	ebruary	2014					FAss

	GOP BILLION USD	GOP YOY	GOP QOQ	INTEREST RATE	INFLATION RATE	JOBLESS RATE	GOV. BUDGET	DEBT/GDP
JAPAN	5960	2.40%	0.30%	0.00%	1.60%	3.70%	-9.20%	211.70%
GREECE	249	-3.00%	0.20%	0.25%	-1.70%	27.80%	-9.00%	156.90%
ZIMBABWE	11	4.40%		13.95%	0.33%	10.70%	-4.00%	150.90%
JAMAICA	15	0.50%	1.50%	5.75%	9.70%	15.40%	-1.90%	145.80%
LEBANON	43	0.60%		10.00%	1.10%	5.83%	-9.50%	139.50%
ITALY	2013	-1.80%	0.00%	0.25%	0.70%	12.70%	-3.00%	127.00%
PORTUGAL	212	-1.00%	0.20%	0.25%	0.20%	15.30%	-6.40%	124.10%
IRELAND	210	1.70%	1.50%	0.25%	0.20%	12.30%	-8.20%	117.40%
UNITED STATES	15685	2.70%	3.20%	0.25%	1.50%	6.70%	-4.10%	101.60%
BELGIUM	484	0.90%	0.40%	0.25%	0.97%	8.40%	-4.00%	99.80%
SINGAPORE	275	4.40%	-2.70%	0.01%	1.50%	1.80%	1.30%	97.90%
ICELAND	14	4.90%	6.10%	6.00%	3.10%	4.60%	-1.50%	96.20%
EURO AREA	12195	-0.30%	0.10%	0.25%	0.70%	12.00%	-3.70%	90.60%
FRANCE	2613	0.20%	-0.10%	0.25%	0.70%	10.90%	-4.80%	90.20%
BHUTAN	2	4.60%		6.00%	9.12%	3.10%	0.90%	89.40%
UNITED KINGDOM	2440	2.80%	0.70%	0.50%	2.00%	7.10%	-6.10%	88.70%
CYPRUS	23	-5.50%	-0.80%	0.25%	-2.89%	17.50%	-6.40%	86.60%
SPAIN	1349	-0.10%	0.30%	0.25%	0.20%	26.03%	-10.60%	86.00%
CANADA	1821	1.91%	0.70%	1.00%	1.20%	7.20%	-1.50%	84.60%
GERMANY	3400	1.10%	0.25%	0.25%	1.34%	5.10%	-0.10%	81.00%
HUNGARY	126	1.80%	0.90%	2.85%	0.40%	9.10%	-2.00%	79.80%
EGYPT	257	1.04%	1.04%	8.25%	11.70%	13.40%	-13.80%	79.70%
ISRAEL	244	3.20%	0.58%	1.00%	1.80%	5.80%	-4.20%	79.50%
SRI LANKA	59	7.80%	7.80%	6.50%	4.40%	4.40%	-6.40%	79.10%
IVORY COAST	25	9.80%		3.50%	0.40%	15.70%	-2.50%	78.80%
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	0	4.00%		14.00%	6.80%	14.00%	-9.40%	75.80%
AUSTRIA	400	0.70%	0.20%	0.25%	1.90%	9.80%	-2.50%	74.00%
http://www.tradingeco	nomics.com · 7 F	ebruary	2014					FAss

How income is used

• Interpreting GDP (**Y**) as <u>aggregate income</u> (GDP as net incomes earned by factors of production), then income can be used to <u>consume</u>, to <u>save</u>, and to <u>pay</u> <u>taxes</u> (net of transfers)

$$\mathbf{Y} \equiv \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{S} + (\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R}).$$

• Defining <u>disposable income</u> Y_d as

$$Y_d \equiv Y - T + TR$$

 $Y_d \equiv C + S$.

it follows that

Savings accounting identity • From the fundamental accounting identity $\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{C} \equiv \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{NX}.$ • From the income accounting identity $\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{C} \equiv \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R}$ • The combination of the two leads to I + G + NX =**S** + **T** – **TR**. Rearranging, (G + TR - T)I + NX. S \equiv government budget trade private investment balance saving deficit (if > 0)



says that there are three ways of disposing of the savings of an economy.

- Savings can go to firms to finance investment...
- ... to the government to finance a budget deficit...
- ... or <u>to foreigners</u>, when they buy more from the economy than the economy buys from them (trade surplus).



Twin (or double) deficits: twice the fun

- If investment equals savings, so **I** = **S**, the savings identity implies that the government budget deficit equals the trade balance.
- This means that <u>if the government runs a budget</u> <u>deficit, then it must be financed by foreigners</u>: if **I** = **S**, then **G** + **TR T** > 0 implies **NX** < 0.
- In sum, the government spends more without having to increase taxes, and households and firms buy from abroad more goods than they sell. Are all of them living beyond their possibilities?



billion	http://www.mcki	nsey.com/i	nsights/glob	al_capital_mar	kets/mapping_global_c	apital_m	arkets_2011
Largest net foreig	gn debtors1	Assets	Liabilities	Largest net fo	oreign creditors ¹	Assets	Liabilities
United -3,072 States		15,284	18,356	Japan	3,010	6,759	3,748
Spain	-1,263	1,673	2,936	China	2,193	3,892	1,699
Australia	-752	1,044	1,796	Germany	1,207	7,323	6,116
Brazil	-703	587	1,290	Saudi Arabia	882	1,084	202
Italy	-453	2,734	3,187	Switzerland	698	3,047	2,348
United Kingdom	-446	10,943	11,390	Hong Kong	691	2,723	2,032
Mexico	-355	259	613	Taiwan	626	1,015	389
Greece	-331	315	646	United Arab Emirates	585	783	198
France	-325	6,622	6,947	Singapore	492	1,376	884
Poland	-308	162	470	Norway	360	1,122	762





Identities vs theories

- The previous <u>identities do not establish causal</u> <u>connections</u> between variables: for that, a theory is needed (a theory establishes causal relationships). Identities are not theories, but mere descriptions of what is necessarily true (theories may be false).
- By way of illustration, one cannot infer from slide 113 that a growing budget deficit caused, between 2000 and 2007, a worsening of the trade balance. That is, from $S I \equiv (G + TR T) + NX$ and a rise in (G + TR T), it cannot be concluded that NX falls: may be NX declines because S I diminishes.

The *cum hoc ergo propter hoc* fallacy

- The *cum hoc ergo propter hoc* (= "with this, therefore because of this") fallacy consists in <u>inferring</u> causality from the proximity of events.
- One commits the CHEPH fallacy when the presence of a statistical association between two variables is considered enough to declare a causal connection between them. <u>Statitiscal correlation does not</u> <u>imply (proves) causality</u>.
- Example: observing low inflation rates under an independent central bank is not enough to conclude that the bank's independence caused low inflation.

The post hoc ergo propter hoc fallacy

- The *post hoc ergo propter hoc* (= "after this, therefore because of this") fallacy is also known as the <u>false causality fallacy</u>.
- The PHEPH fallacy consists in <u>attributing causality</u> to the order of events. That is, in presuming that, if event *A* precedes event *B*, then *A* causes *B*. To sustain the causal claim, one needs to explain which is the connection leading from *A* to *B*.
- For instance, an increase in the inflation rate following a rise in M1 does not entitle to jump to the conclusion that more M1 caused more inflation.

Money and inflation

- Let M be the money stock, V the velocity of circulation of money (how many times an euro is used to purchase goods), P a general price index and Y real GDP. The <u>quantity equation</u> $M \cdot V = P \cdot Y$ is often invoked no connect money with inflation.
- Connection: <u>if V and Y are fixed</u>, <u>higher M implies</u> <u>higher P</u>. But this presumes that the new money is spent in domestic goods. If the money is spent in foreign goods and/or financial assets, P is unaffected. For instance, banks use funds from the central bank to buy bonds, the bond sellers use the proceeds to buy other financial assets, and so on.

The petitio principii fallacy

- The *petitio principii* (= "begging the question" or "assuming the initial point") fallacy is committed when a proposition that has to be proved is (implicitly or explicitly) assumed without proof.
- For example, in standard textbooks demand side policies turn out to be ineffective in the medium run to increase GDP.
- But this conclusion has actually been assumed in the model because one of the premises of the model is that medium run GDP is constant.

Simpson's paradox: an example

• The paradox: <u>something true for different groups is</u> <u>false for the combined group</u>. The tax rate of each group <u>diminishes</u> from t = 1 to t = 2, but, <u>in the</u> aggregate, the tax rate rises from t = 1 to t = 2.

taxes/income	period $t = 1$	period $t = 2$	
group 1	5/100 5%	2/50 4%	
group 2	150/1,000 15%	63/450 14%	
group 3	40/200 20 %	255/1,500 17%	
all groups	195/1,300 15%	320/2,000 <mark>16%</mark>	FAss