**AD** function  $AD = C + I = (4 + 0.8 \cdot Y - \pi) + 10 = 14 + 0.8 \cdot Y - \pi$ 

**AS** function  $Y = 30 \cdot \pi$ 

#### **Macroeconomic equilibrium condition** Y = AD

• In equilibrium,  $Y = 4 + 0.8 \cdot Y - \pi$ , with  $Y = 30 \cdot \pi$ . Hence,  $0.2 \cdot Y = 14 - \pi$ . Amb  $Y = 30 \cdot \pi$ ,  $0.2 \cdot 30 \cdot \pi = 14 - \pi$ . That is,  $6 \cdot \pi = 14 - \pi$ , so  $\pi^* = 2$  is the equilibrium inflation rate. Given  $\pi^* = 2$ , the AS function yields the equilibrium production level  $Y^* = 30 \cdot 2 = 60$ .

- The impact on *Y*<sup>\*</sup> of a change in the *AD* function is the result of an <u>expenditure multiplier effect</u>.
- Since expenditure *AD* depends on income *Y* and, in equilibrium Y = AD, the sequence

 $\Delta AD \to \Delta Y \to \Delta AD \to \Delta Y \to \dots$ 

is generated, so a change in *AD* multiplies itself.

• <u>Example</u>. Let the *AD* function only depend on *C* and *I*, so AD = C + I. Let *I* be constant. Specifically,  $C = 4 + 0.8Y - \pi$  and I = 10 (the 0.8 is the <u>marginal</u> propensity *c* to consume: which fraction of an additional unit of income is consumed).

- The *AS* function is  $Y = 30 \cdot \pi$ . The macroeconomic equilibrium is obtained from the condition Y = AD. That is,  $Y = 4 + 0.8 \cdot Y - \pi + 10$ . Thus,  $0.2 \cdot Y = 14 - \pi$ . As  $Y = 30 \cdot \pi$ ,  $\pi = 2$  ( $\pi$  is a percentage).
- Imagine now that there is an increase in investment, from 10 to 17 (for instance, businessmen become more optimistic).
- To better illustrate the multiplier effect, assume that the inflation rate does not change and remains at 2% (it is as if the *AS* function were horizontal at *π* = 2 : the economy absorbs any increase in planned expenditure without fuelling inflation).

- The state of the economy is described by equations Y = AD and  $\pi = 2$ . Hence,  $Y = 4 + 0.8 \cdot Y \pi + 17 = 19 + 0.8Y$ . That is, 0.2Y = 19, so Y = 95.
- To sum up, expenditure has only been increased 7 units (from I = 10 to I = 17), but production and income have risen 35 units (from Y = 60 to Y = 95). This is caused by the <u>multiplier effect</u>. In this case, the multiplier is 5, which equals 1/(1 c).
- When the AS function enters the picture, <u>part of the</u> <u>expenditure is transformed into inflation</u>. With  $Y = 30 \cdot \pi$  and  $AD = 4 + 0.8 \cdot Y - \pi + 17$ ,  $\pi^* = 3$  and  $Y^* = 90$  (inflation eats up 5 units of income).

Temporary shock									
temporary shock on									
time	Y	$C=4+0.8\cdot Y-\pi$	Ι	AD = C + I					
0	60	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 60 - 2 = 50$	10	60					
1	60	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 60 - 2 = 50$	17	50 + 17 = 67					
$\Delta Y_2 = 7$									
2	67	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 67 - 2 = 55.6$	10	55.6 + 10 = 65.6					
$\Delta Y_3 = 1.4$									
3	65.6 🗲	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 65.6 - 2 = 54.48$	10	54.48 + 10 = 64.48					
$\Delta Y_4 = 1.12$									
4	64.48 <sup>&lt;</sup>	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 64.48 - 2 = 53.58$	10	53.584 + 10 = 63.58					
$\Delta Y_5 = 0.896$									
5	63.58 <sup>&lt;</sup>	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 63.58 - 2 = 52.86$	10	52.86 + 10 = 62.86					

•••		• • •	10					
$\infty$	60	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 95 - 2 = 78$	10	50+10=60				
equilibrium								



## Shock with inflation adjustement

	time	Y	$C=4+0.8\cdot Y-\pi$	Ι	AD = C + I	$\pi=\frac{Y}{30}$		
er effect	0	60	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 60 - 2 = 50$	10	60	2		
	1	60	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 60 - 2 = 50$	17	50 + 17 = 67	2.23		
iplie		$\Delta Y_2 = 7$						
nulti	2	67	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 67 - 2.23 = 55.37$	17	55.37 + 17 = 72.37	2.41		
=	$\Delta Y_3 = 5.37$							
	3	72.37	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 72.37 - 2.41 = 59.48$	17	59.48 + 17 = 76.48	2.54		
		$\Delta Y_4 = 4.11$						
	4	76.48	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 76.48 - 2.54 = 62.64$	17	62.64 + 17 = 79.64	2.65		
	$\Delta Y_5 = 3.16$							
	5	79.64	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 79.64 - 2.65 = 65.06$	17	65.06 + 17 = 82.06	2.73		
		•••	• • •	17	• • •	• • •		
	$\infty$	90	$4 + 0.8 \cdot 90 - 3 = 73$	17	73 + 17 = 90	3		
7			equilibrium	.]				